



Remits and General Business for the 2022 AGM

Notice of Motions

Proposed Constitution Change 1 - Executive

Amend Section 10 - last sentence, Section 11 (e) - second paragraph and Section 13 - second paragraph, first sentence in three places to remove the word 'postal'.

Reasoning:

The voting process for National Association Head Judge is now done via email. A vote to elect replacement committee members would also be done via email.

Proposed Constitution Change 2 - Executive

Amend Section 10 - first paragraph.

Remove "or the position remaining vacant after voting".

Add new paragraphs after current first paragraph:

Nominations for Executive Positions excluding the Head Judge will be advised by email to all club secretaries, and via the Association's media, five days before, and then every day leading up to the nomination submission closing date.

In the event of only one nomination being received for an Executive Position excluding the Head Judge by the nomination submission closing date, at the AGM that person will be appointed, without a vote taking place.

Add new paragraphs under the current second paragraph:

Nominations for Association Head Judge will be advised by email to all eligible to vote for this position, five days before, and then every day leading up to, the nomination submission closing date.

In the event of only one nomination being received for Association Head Judge by the nomination submission closing date, that person will be appointed, without a vote taking place.

Reasoning:

- To be transparent about nominations that have been received for any Executive position, in advance of the closing date for nominations, which may also encourage additional nominations.
- To avoid the situation of a single nominee for the Executive positions (other than Head Judge) receiving a majority 'no' vote at the AGM and AGM delegates then having to nominate and vote on other candidates who have not been advised to the clubs prior to the AGM and who may not even be in attendance to speak to their nomination.
- To avoid the situation of a single nominee for the Association Head Judge position receiving a majority 'no' vote - there are currently no rules in place to provide direction in this situation.

- To encourage anyone who might consider a ‘no’ vote to instead put forward preferred alternatives, allowing an election between two or more candidates to be held.

Proposed Constitution Change 3 - Executive

Amend Section 11(b) to replace “an Annual” with “a” and “AGM” with “meeting”.

Amended sentence to read:

“At any general meeting or Executive Committee meeting a motion put to the vote of the meeting shall be decided by a show of hands unless a poll is requested. At a General Meeting the voting split for notices of motion and remits will be recorded in the meeting minutes except for the election of officers”.

Reasoning:

Extends the existing rules to cover Special General Meetings as well as Annual General Meetings.

Proposed Constitution Change 4 - City of Sails

New Rule under Section 11

Add new rule between 11(b) and 11(c) as follows:

“At any special general meeting a motion put to the vote of the meeting shall be decided by a show of hands unless a poll is requested. The voting split for any motions made will be recorded in the special general meeting minutes.”

And that the numbering be updated “11(c) to 11 (f) accordingly.

Reasoning:

To include a process for voting at a Special General Meeting.

Proposed Constitution Change 5 - Executive

Amend Section 11(e) - first sentence.

Replace: “...remaining Executive Committee shall in the first instance approach the delegates and observers of that AGM to identify and appoint a replacement”.

With: “...remaining Executive Committee shall in the first instance attempt to identify and appoint a replacement by approaching one or more of the delegates and observers of that AGM”.

Reasoning:

To clarify the appointment process, post a resignation from the Executive Committee. That the remaining Executive Committee identify and appoint a replacement, not the attendees of the previous AGM (which is how the current wording could be interpreted – although it should not be interpreted that way as previous delegates have no formal mandate to vote or take part in any decision-making outside of that AGM).

Proposed Constitution Change 6 - Executive

Amend Section 11(e) - first sentence to insert “,as well as past Executive Committee members.” after “the delegates and observers of that AGM”.

To Read:

“If a member of the Executive Committee other than the Head Judge resigns following the AGM, the remaining Executive Committee shall in the first instance approach the delegates and observers of that AGM, as well as past Executive Committee members to identify and appoint a replacement”.....

Reasoning:

Post-resignation from the Executive Committee and for replacement candidates, this extends the pool of people to consider “in the first instance” to include previous Executive members, as they may have experience in relation to the role to be filled.

Proposed Constitution Change 7 - Executive

Amend Section 13 - second paragraph “carried out 60 days prior” to “carried out 30 days prior” and “running for 30 days” to “running for 5 days”.

Amend Section 10 - second paragraph from “no later than 60 days” to “no later than 30 days”

Amend Section 10 - third paragraph from “60 days prior” to “30 days prior”.

Reasoning:

The Head Judge voting process used to be carried out via postal vote and needed to allow time for that to take place. Now that it is all done via email, including advanced notification and reminders, timeframes can and should be shortened, to reduce the period of uncertainty that the voting process can create.

Proposed Constitutional Change 8 - Executive

Amend Section 13 - second paragraph, last sentence.

Remove “postal vote will be announced at the AGM” replace with “vote will be announced at the conclusion of voting. The Association Head Judge-elect does not assume the role until the AGM”.

Reasoning:

There is no reason why the result of the Head Judge election needs to wait until the AGM. Currently candidates are told the outcome prior to the AGM but are asked to not disclose it to others, which is sometimes difficult and does not encourage transparency. This change allows the Head Judge-elect to move forward openly with preparing for the upcoming year.

Proposed Rule Book A Changes**Remit 1 - Executive****Rulebook A - Clarify positions and/or titles throughout the rule book**

Amend Rule 1.10, 3.5, 3.7 a), 8.9 - 2 bullet points

Change “NZARRA” to “The Association”.

Amend Rule 1.4 d), 1.6 d), 3.9 b), 7.1 (x2), 8.8.1, 8.10.1, 8.10.2, 8.12.1, 8.12.2

Change “Executive”, “New Zealand Executive Committee”, “the Executive”, “NZ Executive”, “National Executive” to: “The Executive Committee”.

Amend Rule 8.14

Change “Secretary” to “Association Secretary”.

Amend Rules 2.4 a), 3.4 b), 3.6, 6.6, 8.3 (x3), 8.4, 8.5, 8.8, 8.8.1, 8.9 (x3 - 2 bullet points), 8.10 (x2 bullet points), 8.10.1, 8.10.2, 8.12 (2 bullet points), 8.12.1, 8.12.2, 8.13, 8.14, 10.17

Change “Head Judge” to “Association Head Judge”.

Amend Rule 1.6 d), 1.8 c) iii (x2), 5.4 d), 7.9 (x2), 8.4 (x2), 10.14.1

Change “Junior Nationals” and “Senior Nationals” to “Junior Championship” and “Senior Championship”.

Amend Rule 2.1 b), 2.6, 3.6

Change “National Dress Code” to “Dress Code”.

Reasoning:

To make all the titles consistent.

“The Executive Committee” - as defined in the Rule Book A - Definitions and refers back to the Constitution also.

Remit 2 - Executive

Amend Rule 1.3.

Add to the end of the current first sentence, the words “or Special General Meeting”.

Amend the second sentence to replace “AGM” with “meeting”.

Reasoning:

To allow Rule Book A updates via a Special General Meeting - the intent of this was accepted at last year’s AGM but was submitted only as a proposed change to the Constitution. This brings the same rule in Book A into alignment.

Remit 3 - City of Sails

Amend Rule 1.3.

Proposed Changes:

“or by a motion at a Special General Meeting” be added at the end of the first sentence.

‘Motions resulting from discussions at a Special General Meeting may be voted on at that meeting for immediate inclusion in Rule Book A.’ be added.

The rule be split into sections ie. (a) dealing with Annual General Meetings and (b) dealing with Special General Meetings.

Amended Rule 1.3 to read:

Alteration of Rule Book A must be implemented by a remit at an Annual General Meeting or by a motion at a Special General Meeting.

(a) The date for receipt of remits for an Annual General Meeting is 60 days prior to the Annual General Meeting. Remits must be in writing addressed to the Secretary of the Association. The final date for acceptance is to be advised by the Association newsletter sent to each club. Remits taken from the floor will be at the discretion of the meeting.

(b) Motions resulting from discussions at a Special General Meeting may be voted on at that meeting for immediate inclusion in Rule Book A."

Reasoning:

This is to allow for the alteration of Rule Book A, if necessary, at a Special General Meeting.

Remit 4 - Tauranga

Add new Rule 1.5 (h).

“If in the event a Special General Meeting (SGM) is required, the executive will advise all clubs by email of the date and reasoning for said meeting”.

Reasoning:

There is nowhere in the current rule book to allow for a Special General Meeting. In these times and with a now ever-changing world the ability to hold such a meeting, if necessary, is a must.

Remit 5 - Executive

Amend Rule 1.6 (a) to insert “at Labour Weekend” and “at Easter”.

Amended rule to read:

“The Association organises a Senior National Championship **at Labour Weekend** and a Junior National Championship **at Easter**, annually”.

Reasoning:

These dates are already recorded in Rule Book B. Adding the same information into Rule Book A is all about providing certainty and consistency to competitors. Having fixed dates allows competitors and coaches certainty in determining their own timelines for training, and gives competitors certainty about the sections they will be eligible to compete in. It also provides the host club and supporters certainty in terms of dates for bookings, etc.

Remit 6 - Tauranga

Amend Rule 1.6 (a)

“The Association organises a Senior National Championship and a Junior National Championship annually”.

To read:

“The Association organises a National Championship **annually**”.

Intention:

To run one national event with all age groups over one weekend annually.

Reasoning:

With competitor numbers declining in both junior and senior competitions, holding one NZ Nationals would bring larger competitor and spectator numbers with the NZ rock’n’roll community together over one weekend.

It has the potential to lower costs for those rock n roll families that have both junior and senior competitors with only having the expense of one national weekend.

Financial gain for the host club and the executive would be increased by way of competitor entry fees and spectator weekend passes.

Remit 7 - City of Sails

Amend Rule 1.6 (a)

National Championships

“(a) The Association organises a Senior National Championship and a Junior National Championship annually”.

Proposed Change:

That the following be added to the end of the existing rule 1.6 (a):

“These are usually held on Labour Weekend for Senior Nationals and Easter Weekend for Junior Nationals. If a Senior Nationals or a Junior Nationals is unable to be held on its designated weekend, it may be held on an alternative weekend. The date for the alternative weekend is to be set, for voting on by the member clubs, by agreement between the Host Club for that Nationals and the

Association Executive. The alternative date may not be set within five months of the next Nationals of the same age group. If an alternative weekend cannot be agreed upon, no Nationals will be held for that designated Senior or Junior Nationals. Voting on an agreed alternative weekend is to be done by way of email to member clubs. The email is to be sent by the Secretary of the Association (or another member of the Executive if the Secretary is not available). All votes to be received by the Association Secretary (or the alternative Executive member) within two weeks of the date of the voting request being sent to member clubs.”

Reasoning:

This enables the flexibility for a National competition to possibly be moved to another weekend, so that if possible the Nationals may go ahead. Making it an email vote from the Executive (after consultation with the Host Club) means that we wouldn't need to wait the 60 days for a Special General Meeting to be convened and therefore a date closer to the original date of that Nationals may be able to be used.

Remit 8 - Executive

New rule 1.6(d)

“If eight weeks before the start of a National Championship there are conditions in New Zealand that the Executive and host club consider would negatively impact that Championship proceeding on its planned date, the Championship will be cancelled.”

Need to renumber the current 1.6 (d) to 1.6 (e).

Reasoning:

In uncertain times, for example during our lockdowns and levels, this will give the host club certainty about the latest an official decision will be made and also be clear that they are involved in making that decision. This timeframe may assist in allowing host club costs incurred to be recouped. Eight weeks out is usually before the closing date for entries, so clubs do not have to go through that uncertainty.

Remit 9 - Tauranga

Add New Rule 1.6(e)

“The Executive has the ability to move national championship dates should the event arise that the set date does not work due to unforeseen circumstances”.

Intention:

To still be able to hold junior and senior nationals should unforeseen circumstances arise.

Reasoning:

Over the course of the current pandemic both junior and senior nationals have been cancelled twice rather than being postponed to new dates. This has resulted in numbers dropping considerably over this time as competitors are disheartened to continue training without something to train and look forward to. If a new date is proposed at the time of cancellation, that will encourage competitors to stay in the sport as they have something to keep working towards.

Remit 10 - Executive

Amend Rule 1.14

Remove “and always including the Association Head Judge”.

Add “The Association Head Judge will not participate in the competition as a competitor, coach or supporter, see Rule 6.6”.

Amended paragraph to read:

“The Executive Committee will officiate at all National Competitions with a minimum of three people per day excluding the Immediate Past President. No officiating Executive will participate in the competition on the day/s that they are officiating. **The Association Head Judge will not participate in the competition as a competitor, coach or supporter, see Rule 6.6.”**

Intention:

Amend Head Judge role at Nationals

Also add new first sentence to Rule 6.6:

“If the Association Head Judge is unavailable for the competition or wishes to nominate a National Association Judge for succession or other purposes then a National Association Judge will be appointed by the Executive Committee to officiate as Head Judge for a National Championship.”

Reasoning:

Allows for circumstances where the Association Head Judge is unable to take on this role e.g. illness. Normalises, “demystifies” and allows the experience of head judging at a Nationals to be trained for succession planning purposes only.

Suggested process: the Head Judge for a National Championship is nominated by the Association Head Judge and endorsed by the Executive Committee as a part of the standard panel selection process, and after discussion with the nominees. In NO circumstances will the Association Head Judge compete, coach or support specific competitors at either Senior or Junior competitions. The Association head judge will continue to hold their Executive position as an official throughout the Championship, determine the roster and rotation and conduct all other expected and related activities in advance, facilitate the official judges’ meeting (if held) and attend Executive meetings in advance of and during the Championship as required, in their official capacity.

Remit 11 - Executive

Amend 2.1(b) to remove “The National dress code will apply to Sections 13 and 16 (Same Sex) i.e.”

Amended rule to read:

“Females will dress in ladies’ attire, males in men’s attire”.

Reasoning:

For clarity - the dress code as defined in Section 2 of the rule book applies to all competition sections, not just the two sections currently listed.

Remit 12 - Tauranga

To Remove section 3 assessments in its entirety.

Intention:

To increase entries and to encourage more people to consider competing.

Reasoning:

By removing Section 3 assessments this would hopefully allow and encourage all young, old, new, and experienced to enter our national event without the stress of assessments. There is a line in the rulebook that says, To ensure that the National Championships are of a high standard, we don’t disagree with that however with the declining of numbers we need quantity because without that we have no nationals. If by removing assessments and entry numbers hopefully will increase, a follow on would be an increase in supporters and higher attendance at National Championships.

Remit 13 - City of Sails

Remove SECTION 3 – ASSESSMENTS

Intention:

That the entire Section 3 - Assessments is removed from RuleBook A.

Reasoning:

To allow all competitors who would like to compete at Nationals to compete. With dwindling competitor and spectator numbers at Nationals over a number of years now, it would be beneficial to Rock 'n' Roll as a whole to increase competitor numbers and make it a more inclusive sport for anyone who wishes to compete. As we know from past experience at Club and National competitions, the more competitors you have and more spectators you get. In the long term it is also likely to increase competitor numbers at Club level.

Remit 14 - Kapi Mana

Remove Section 3 from RuleBook A and renumber remaining sections.

Reasoning:

This section relates to assessments and was brought into the Rule Book in the 1990's, when there were hundreds of competitors for the Nationals (both Junior and Senior) and a way of ensuring couples of a certain standard were eligible to compete.

There is now an issue with clubs trying to find enough competitors to represent their clubs and the Association Executive trying to find enough for the Nationals.

We have seen many sections compete at the Nationals with the minimum numbers and below since 2015. Even last year, before the cancellation, there were calls out for competitors in nearly every section.

If, in the future, there are hundreds of entries for Nationals, then the Executive can ask for these rules to be reinstated.

We cannot use the excuse that without assessments our Nationals will have the standard dropped or that the Judges need the assessments so their abilities to judge and train are not diminished. These competitions are for the dancers and we should be encouraging as many as possible to enter. Besides, Section 14 (Novice) was introduced several years ago where couples who had never competed at a Nationals before, could compete in their own section without being assessed and we had quite a few enter at both of the last two Nationals held.

Remit 15 - Executive

Add new clause 3.2 (e)

“Competitors may be assessed from livestream provided that Association-approved technical guidelines are in place and at least one judge is physically present at the assessment”.

Intention:

Allow for remote assessments. Opportunities for assessments where two New Zealand Association Judges are unable to be physically present.

Reasoning:

The outcome of a number of trials using live-streaming proved the medium is sufficient to support a judge in assessment, although it was agreed by all that this method was not ideal for dancers and it is recommended to only use when two judges are unable to be present due to geographic or pandemic difficulties.

Guidelines were developed through live-stream trials to provide clear instructions on placement of cameras in relation to sound, etc. The below guidelines will be added into Book B.

Suggested/proposed guidelines:

Device Notes

- Higher quality cameras in phones or tablets is preferred (note that the streaming application often dumbs these down to a more narrow focus)
- At least 3 bars of 3G coverage. 4G or LTE is recommended
- The iPad's camera worked better in trials – stopped the flickering of Fluorescent lights and provided good clarity for harmony purposes
- Needs to have plenty of battery life
- Needs to sit on a stand at approx. 1.2 metres high – with the camera pointing at the dancers heads (to facilitate for aerials and dips)

Room Notes

- Needs to be quiet – care for outside sounds
- Because the room layout depends on the location of camera, this creates a trapezoid type effect - it can be useful to put tape along the floor that clearly marks off-camera areas (see picture below)
- Because the front of the device generally has the microphone on the front, the sound system must be placed behind the camera but facing towards the dancers
- The camera used in trials worked well at 4.5 meters away from the front edge of the dancing area – too much closer and it limits the dancing area. Too further away limits the details that can be seen

Application Notes

- Zoom didn't work – because it speeds up the picture and sound when it thinks it's needed
- Facebook live streaming (inviting the specific people to avoid broadcasting all over Facebook) worked very well with good detail provided to the judges to assess. This platform is recommended

Things to avoid

- Someone holding a camera – it must be set
- Not allowing at least 10 minutes for pre-assessment quality testing (i.e. getting the tech right)
- More than one couple on the floor. Judges can only judge one couple at a time using this method and other dancers may inhibit their view.

Remit 16 - Executive

Add to Section 3 - New rule 3.10

“Any couple/triple combination having achieved National Qualifying Level points during a National Championship will not be required to be assessed for the next Junior or Senior National Championship they would be eligible to compete in, unless they choose to compete in a different section”.

Append to Rule 3.1 - first paragraph, last sentence within brackets: “, and rule 3.10 for exceptions”

Reasoning:

Acknowledges the standard of dancing that has been displayed during the competition. Currently a couple are able to be assessed on the Monday following the competition, this is no different. Having to be assessed if they choose a different section covers e.g. moving to or away from Restricted which has different rules, and also couples who move from Juniors to Seniors.

Entry forms for the following year would be modified to allow a competing couple/triple to include the marks they obtained in the previous Nationals (rather than as currently, the details of their assessment date and judges), to be confirmed against official records.

Remit 17 - Executive

Amend 4.10 (a) – remove '(a)'.

Delete 4.10 (b) – already covered under 4.10 (a) (i).

Reasoning:

Rule book tidy up, no change to existing rules.

Remit 18 - Kapi Mana

Amend Rule 5.1 (e) in Rule Book A.

Intention:

Remove any reference to a 5th couple in the remaining items of Section 5.

To read:

“No more than 4 couples in sections 1,2,3,4,10,11,12,13,14 & 16 will proceed to the final”

Reasoning:

We have heard in the past from couples competing in the finals, that they have found more than four couples restrict their movements on the final floor. Couples at last Junior Nationals in the Same Sex section in 2021 complained that with 5 couples in their final they were inhibited from using the floor space they needed for the routine and in one case had to shorten their routine to adjust and prevent themselves from running into another couple on the floor.

We have restricted the numbers to four in the quarter-finals and semi-finals, so why then are we saying it is ok to restrict the finalists (the cream of their sections) to less space?

In the event of a tie for 4th place for a final, a dance-off will take place for those tied couples.

Remit 19 - Executive

Amend Rule Book 6.6 - first sentence.

Intention:

To clarify that the minimum of 6 Judges are appointed “by the Executive Committee”.

Reasoning:

Just to clarify the current process – that the National Association Head Judge recommends a panel, the Executive Committee as a whole appoints it.

Remit 20 - Executive

Amend Rule Book 8.5 - third sentence to read:

“The panel will consist of five National Association Judges pre-selected by their peers i.e. fellow National Association Judges”.

Add a further sentence: “If the panel is convened in regard to a matter concerning one of the pre-selected judges, that judge plus one other (randomly selected by the Executive Committee) will not take part in the panel’s deliberations.”

Intention:

Change the make-up of the disciplinary panel.

Amended sentence plus additional sentence to read:

“The panel will consist of five National Association Judges pre-selected by their peers i.e. fellow National Association Judges. If the panel is convened in regards to a matter concerning one of the

pre-selected judges, that judge, plus one other (randomly selected by the Executive Committee), will not take part in the panel's deliberations."

Reasoning:

Current wording does not allow for any consideration of issues that include the National Association Head Judge. The National Association Head Judge is also the Exec Member in most cases who would represent the complaint. A complainant acting as a panel member would circumvent natural justice. Pre-selection avoids any bias or preference when a need to convene is identified. This also allows for the situation where one of the pre-selected is required to be investigated.

Remit 21 - Executive

Amend Rule Book 8.13

Change "secretary" to "Association Treasurer"

Reasoning:

Reimbursements are made through the Association Treasurer.

Remit 22 - Executive

Amend 8.13 to replace "biennially" with "annually".

Intention:

To change the structure of judges' workshops.

Reasoning:

Currently we have a combined meeting of judges every two years. Between those, although not explicitly covered in the Rule Book, typically the Association Head Judge hosts at least three and usually six regional workshops, across the three regions of upper North Island, Central (Lower North Island) and South Island.

The three regional workshops sometimes express quite different views, which often need to later be "collated" into a majority view, which individual judges aren't always in agreement with.

This remit proposes to fund a combined workshop yearly, with face to face seen as the best form of discussing, sharing and learning together. The Association funding of this could be offset by moving from physical regional workshops to similar workshops being hosted online, available for any judge to attend rather than being limited to per region. Individual judges could hear and take part in a wider range of other's thinking and remove the "regional" aspect of the meetings.

Remit 23 - Hutt Valley

Amend Rule 10.11

This remit will affect rules 2.1 (b) with Females will dress in ladys attire, males in mens attire.

To read:

"A Triple team is defined as three dancers".

Intention:

Allow more dancers to have the opportunity to compete at Nationals. Many clubs are having same sex triples. For Triples to be Same Sex also.

Reasoning:

To coincide with the changes made to Team events.

End of Remits

General Business

Item 1 - Executive

To advise that the following guidelines have been added to Book B in relation to the Association Head Judge voting process:

- The voting period stays open until the agreed closing date, regardless of when a majority is reached.
- It is accepted that candidates can campaign and voters and others can openly support a candidate, while using the current judges set of values as their guideline. These are outlined on the Association website.
- There will always be two Scrutineers chosen from the Executive and always including the Secretary. Scrutineers will vote as early as possible on the first day of voting. The scrutineers treat all votes in confidence.
- After the closing date and in advance of public announcement at the AGM, each candidate will be notified of the result, in part to allow for handover planning if required. It is expected that the result remains confidential between the candidate(s) and the Executive until public announcement.
- Candidate notifications and any public announcements will reveal the successful candidate but not the numbers of votes.
- The Executive Secretary will collate the results in a document which will not be disclosed publicly but kept for filing. Individual voters will not be named or recorded as 'inwards correspondence'.

This is to ensure judges and others have guidance, confidence and trust in relation to the Association Head Judge voting process – all of the above is currently-expected behaviour and already in place, but not documented, or necessarily clearly understood by all.

Item 2 - Tauranga

Current National Format

Is the current national format relative to today's landscape?

Item 3 - Tauranga

National Venue

Nationals to be held between Auckland, Wellington, and Christchurch to help with keeping travel costs down.

Item 4 - Tauranga

Invitations to previous champions

To show appreciation to the couples who won their section the previous year.

Item 5 - Kapi Mana

The National Executive Committee please adhere to the wording in the first sentence of Section 5.1 (a) of Rule Book A.

"The timetable for the competition will be set by the Executive Committee in conjunction with the Host Club."

Google Dictionary refers to "to work in conjunction with" means "Done or Used together".

Kapi Mana was never consulted by the Executive when the 2021 Junior National Programme was set and the start time was advanced an hour earlier than that of 2016, when the programme had been

larger. This meant the staff at the venue were required to start earlier and added more expense to the Host Club.

Item 6 - Executive

National Playlist

“5.4 (b) The songs/instruments are to be the original recording and artist (or most known version) selected by the Executive Committee from the National Playlist”.

We would like to gauge interest in relaxing or removing existing restrictions on our National Playlist selection criteria.

There are some amazing cover music of the original songs, potentially better versions and just songs that are great to dance to, that could be added to our song list and would like to consider looking to extend the years or remove the years completely. Believe we need more variety in our current playlist.

The existing process to add new songs to the National Playlist would still exist.

Depending on discussion we would be open to taking a remit from the floor.

Item 7 - Executive

Succession Planning

End of General Business